

PHOENIX, AZ 850040001

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE 7398 09/940,509 08/27/2001 40655.5800 Doug Dunning **EXAMINER** 20322 7590 03/23/2005 **SNELL & WILMER** BASHORE, ALAIN L ONE ARIZONA CENTER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **400 EAST VAN BUREN** 

3624

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/940,509	DUNNING ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alain L. Bashore	3624		

	Alain L. Bashore	3624		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 3-11-005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amenda condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearmentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid about ment, affidavit, or other evidence al fee) in compliance with 37 CF a reply must be filed within one of	ndonment of this applion, which places the application and the application and the application are the application are application. The application are application are application and the application are application are application are application and the application are application are application are application and the application are application are application are application and the application are application and the application are a	ication in st for Continued	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		11. 1. 11 <i>(</i>	!-!!!!	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mai	ing date of the final rejecti	on.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR ension and the corresponding amou hortened statutory period for reply o than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropring riginally set in the final Office.	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appearance was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time perial AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	.37 must be filed within two mor FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal od set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	the Notice of Notice of Appea	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con			ecause	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below				
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>The new recitation of "at least one of fully and the new recitation".</u>	and partially on the activity of a r	narket index at the end		
of said term and said date of maturity" is considered	<u>d to require further consideratio</u>	n and search . (See 37	CFR 1.116 and	
41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		50mpilant / menament	(1 TOL 024).	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an o	explanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-76</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		Nachara da Amerika da		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under app	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attack	ned.	
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s)		
13. Other:		Alain L. Bashore Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3624	Une_	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)